

# Oncology Information Group

## ARTICLE SUMMARIES

**UROTHELIAL  
CARCINOMA**

### **Pembrolizumab as Second-Line Therapy for Advanced Urothelial Carcinoma**

Bellmunt J et al., N Engl J Med. 2017 Mar 16;376(11):  
1015-1026

# BACKGROUND

- Platinum-refractory patients with advanced or metastatic urothelial carcinoma treated in the second-line setting have a poor prognosis. Immunotherapy checkpoint inhibitors have shown promising response rates.
- Study objective: Within the second-line treatment of patients with advanced urothelial carcinoma previously progressed during or after platinum-based chemotherapy, pembrolizumab was compared with investigator's choice of chemotherapy.

# KEYNOTE-045 STUDY DESIGN

Phase III, randomized, open-label trial

Stage IV urothelial carcinoma previously progressed during or after (within 12 mo) platinum-based chemotherapy  
ECOG 0-2  
Received 1-2 lines of prior systemic chemotherapy

Patients were stratified (1:1) by mutation type (Del19/L858R) & brain metastases (present/absent)

Pembrolizumab 200 mg q3wk

Oncologist's choice (taxane or vinflunine)

Treatment beyond progression allowed if deemed beneficial by investigator. RECIST (V1.1).

## Endpoints:

Primary: Overall Survival (OS), Progression Free Survival (PFS)

Secondary: Overall Response Rate (ORR), duration of response (DOR), time to response, safety

PDL1 testing compared patients expressing PDL1 < 10% vs PDL1 ≥ 10%

# STUDY DESIGN - CONTINUED

- Study Conduct: 120 sites and 29 countries.
- Recruitment: November 2014 – 2015. Median follow-up: 14.1 mo.
- Statistical design: Sample size was based on one-sided alpha 2.5%, power 88% to show hazard ratio (HR) 0.781, and power 86% to show HR 0.625. Calculated enrollment of 470 patients.
- OS, PFS, and DoR estimated using the Kaplan–Meier method.
- OS and PFS between-group differences calculated with the stratified log-rank test.
- HR's and 95% confidence intervals calculated using stratified Cox proportional-hazards model and Efron's method.
- Intention to treat analysis applied.

# RESULTS

- 542 randomized to pembrolizumab (P) (270) or oncologists' choice chemotherapy (C) (272)
- Balanced baseline patient characteristics:
  - Age - median 67 YO (P) vs 65 YO (C)
  - ECOG 0 slightly more in P: ECOG 0- 44% (P) vs 39%(C)
  - PDL1 $\geq$ 10%: 68.9% (P) vs 73% (C)
  - Liver metastases: 33.7% (P) vs 35.1% (C)
  - Hb < 10 g/dL: 16.4 % (P) vs 16.5% (C)
- Study found pembrolizumab significantly improved OS (HR 0.73; 95% CI, 0.59–0.91;  $p = 0.002$ ) and ORR (21.1% in P and 11.4% in C,  $p = 0.001$ ), compared to oncologists' choice chemotherapy. Median OS 10.3 mo (P) vs 7.4 mo (C).

## RESULTS

- No significant difference in PFS between P vs C (HR 0.89; 95% CI, 0.61 to 1.28; P=0.24)
- Pembrolizumab consistently better OS than chemotherapy for all subgroups, including all PDL1 groups.
- ORR was observed significantly higher in 21.1 % of patients treated with P (95% CI, 16.4% - 26.5 %), compared to only 11.4% treated with C (95% CI, 7.9 to 15.8) (P=0.001).

# RESULTS – ADVERSE EVENTS

	Pembrolizumab	Chemotherapy
Most common grade 3 AEs	Overall 15.0% <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•Pneumonitis 6 (2.3%)</li><li>•Colitis 3 (1.1%)</li></ul>	Overall 49.4% <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•Neutropenia 31 (12.2%)</li><li>•Anemia 20 (7.8%)</li></ul>
Most common Drug-related grade 3 AEs leading to treatment discontinuation	Overall 5.6% <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•Pneumonitis death 1 (0.4%)</li><li>•Urinary obstruction 1 (0.4%)</li></ul>	Overall 11.0% <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•Sepsis 2 (0.4%)</li><li>•Septic shock 1 (0.4%)</li></ul>

# DISCUSSION

- Pembrolizumab significantly improves OS, in contrast to oncologists' choice chemotherapy, regardless of PDL1 status.
- PDL1 testing currently does not allow for the prediction of who will respond to pembrolizumab or checkpoint inhibitors.
- PFS was not significantly different in patients treated with pembrolizumab, in comparison to chemotherapy. This is a trend seen in several other tumor groups treated with checkpoint inhibitors, suggesting that PFS is not an accurate reflection of the effectiveness of these treatments.
- The safety profile of pembrolizumab is tolerable and as expected. Overall treatment related adverse events were lower in pembrolizumab, in contrast to chemotherapy.